

HANSEN  
SOMMER &  
BATCHMAN LLP

---

A LIMITED LIABILITY PARTNERSHIP  
1520 EUREKA ROAD, SUITE 100  
ROSEVILLE, CALIFORNIA 95661  
TELEPHONE: (916) 781-2550  
FACSIMILE: (916) 781-5339

HARTLEY T. HANSEN  
(1944-2004)

BRET N. BATCHMAN

April 22, 2026

VIA EMAIL

Aaron F. Park  
aaron@aaronfpark.com  
aaronfpark71@yahoo.com

**Re: Demand to Cease and Desist Defamatory Statements Concerning Placer County Superior Court Judge and Judicial Candidate Hon. Leon A. Dixon; Request for Retraction; and Demand to Preserve of Evidence**

To Aaron F. Park and RightOnDaily.com:

This office represents the Honorable Leon A. Dixon, current judge of the Placer County Superior Court and candidate for Placer County Superior Court Seat 3 Judge. We write to address the false and defamatory statements concerning Judge Dixon that were published on RightOnDaily.com at <https://rightondaily.com/2026/04/placer-judge-race-a-microcosm-of-todays-political-environment-incumbent-judge-protects-pedophile-and-challenger-called-extremist-by-extremists/> by an individual, Aaron F. Park, on or about April 15, 2026. The full title of the post reads **“Placer Judge Race a Microcosm of Today’s Political Environment. Incumbent Judge Protects Pedophile and Challenger Called Extremist by Extremists!”**

Specifically, the post discusses Placer County Superior Court, criminal case *The People of the State of California v. David Walter Williams* (Case No. 62-201540) over which Judge Dixon presided at some pretrial hearings. The post by Aaron F. Park and RightOnDaily.com specifically states “‘Let ‘Em Out Leon’ Dixon let this piece of crap out of jail ON HIS OWN RECOGNIZANCE!” (See Attachment A.)

Moreover, the subject post implies that Judge Dixon presided over David Walter Williams’s trial and sentencing. This implication is further evidenced by the April 16, 2026, 12:56 p.m. comment on the post, by an individual represented to be “Edward Koons,” which states, “[t]o castigate Dixon based on a single sentencing decision is shameful partisan mudslinging.” (See Attachment A.)

The April 15, 2026, post conveyed to readers that Judge Dixon ordered the release of an incarcerated individual, on his own recognition, who had been under arrest and was in police

custody. Consequently, Aaron F. Parks and RightOnDaily.com have published false written statements that directly tend to injure Judge Dixson in his profession and in his candidacy for judicial office.

The true facts are reflected in the public record and are as follows:

- David Walter Williams (hereinafter “Williams”) was accused of recording videos of girls around the Roseville Galleria Mall on February 19, 2024.
- The District Attorney filed a criminal Complaint against Williams on October 17, 2024, for a one count misdemeanor violation of Penal Code section 647.6(a)(1), which is annoying or molesting a child under eighteen years of age.
- Williams was not under arrest, incarcerated, or in police custody for this offense before his November 20, 2024, arraignment, at the time of arraignment, *or at any other time* that he appeared before Judge Dixson.
- Judge Dixson never, at any time, let David Walter Williams out of jail.
- When neither Williams nor his attorney initially appeared at Williams’s arraignment hearing, Judge Dixson referred the case to the District Attorney’s office to evaluate whether the office wanted to seek an arrest warrant.
- A bench warrant could not be issued for Williams’s failure to appear, because he was only issued a notice to appear by the District Attorney’s office. However, Williams’s attorney did appear in court later that day. Therefore, no arrest warrant was sought for the failed appearance.
- On November 20, 2024, the prosecutor requested that Williams be on “Supervised O.R.” with terms. There was no request that Williams be taken into custody. Judge Dixson granted the supervision request after listening to the parties.
- The terms and conditions of Williams’s “Supervised O.R.,” which were primarily based on the prosecutor’s requests and not objected to by the prosecutor or defense, included that Williams report to the probation officer “at all times, places and in a the manner directed, at minimum, once per week;” submit to search and seizure of his electronic devices; stay away from the Galleria Mall and any places where minors frequent (e.g., parks and sporting events); not be alone with a minor without a responsible adult, except when in a classroom for work with at least 15 students.
- Judge Dixson did not preside over any portion of Williams’s criminal trial or his sentencing.
- Judge Dixson never, at any time, denied a request from the District Attorney’s office that Williams be arrested or remanded to custody.

(See Attachments B-F.)

California Civil Code section 45 expressly provides: “Libel is a false and unprivileged publication by writing, printing, picture, effigy, or other fixed representation to the eye, which exposes any person to hatred, contempt, ridicule, or obloquy, or which causes him to be shunned or avoided, or which has a tendency to injure him in his occupation.” (Cal. Civ. Code, §45.)

California Civil Code section 46 likewise recognizes that slander includes false statements that “[t]end[] directly to injure him in respect to his office, profession, trade or business. . .” (Cal. Civ. Code, §46 (3).) While your publication is written and not oral, Civil Code section 46 confirms California’s longstanding recognition that false accusations of professional unfitness are especially damaging. Importantly, in California, a written statement may be actionable as libel even when the defamatory meaning arises by implication or innuendo, so long as the publication is reasonably susceptible of that defamatory meaning. (See Cal. Civ. Code, §§ 45, 45a.)

The post addressed herein unmistakably implies that Judge Dixson is professionally unfit because of purported facts that are false. The seriousness of the false statements is obvious, and the statements are injurious to Judge Dixson’s occupation. To be clear, such statements are *not* a matter of protected opinion, loose rhetoric, or fair criticism. The post asserts and clearly implies concrete factual propositions about a criminal case and Judge Dixson making decisions at trial and in sentencing, as well as releasing from jail an individual being held in police custody. These factual assertions are false.

A speaker cannot avoid liability for defamation merely by couching false factual assertions in political advocacy. (See Cal. Elec. Code, §20500 *et seq.*) Moreover, we do recognize that California’s anti-SLAPP statute, Code of Civil Procedure section 425.16, broadly protects speech in a public forum on matters of public interest and authorizes a special motion to strike where a claim arises from such activity unless the plaintiff can establish a probability of prevailing. (Cal. Code Civ. Proc., §425.16 (a), (b)(1), (e)(3).) As such, this demand is directed *only* at false factual assertions and implications unsupported by the public record and is not directed at protected opinion or lawful political disagreement.

To the extent Aaron F. Park and RightOnDaily.com might assume that republication is automatically protected if the post concerns a criminal case or a matter of public concern, it should not. California Civil Code section 47 does provide certain privileges, which include statements made in official proceedings and for a “fair and true report” in, or a communication to, a public journal of a judicial proceeding or of anything said in the course thereof. (Cal. Civ. Code, §47 (d)(1).) But that protection is for a fair and true report. A materially false retelling of specific objectively verifiable judicial proceedings is qualitatively different. Likewise, Civil Code section 47 does *not* give private speakers a free pass to publish false statements under the guise of discussing a public matter.

Moreover, despite the public nature of the underlying criminal proceedings and the seriousness of the accusation that is being circulated, it does not appear that any legitimate news organization has ever reported the “facts” that Aaron F. Park and RightOnDaily.com’s post asserts. This absence underscores the unsupported nature of the publication and highlights that

Aaron F. Park and RightOnDaily.com appear to be representing, as factual assertions, statements that are not grounded in any modicum of reliable reporting and, more importantly, are not supported by the actual court documents or record. (See Attachments B-F.) This point is also consistent with California authority recognizing that context matters when evaluating speech connected to public issues. (See *FilmOn.com Inc. v. DoubleVerify Inc.* (2019) 7 Cal.5th 133, 139-140, 149-150.)

California courts have also recognized that even where a publication uses true source material, a false defamatory implication may still be alleged if the defendant's presentation materially conveys a false meaning. (See *Smith v. Maldonado* (1999) 72 Cal.App.4th 637, 652.) Accordingly, RightOnDaily.com and Aaron F. Park cannot insulate themselves by asserting that the post referenced the existence of a real criminal case, because what the post communicates about Judge Dixson's acts and/or omissions in "let[ting] [David Walter Williams] out of jail on his own recognizance" is factually false.

**Based on the foregoing, it is hereby demanded that RightOnDaily.com and Aaron F. Park do all of the following immediately, and by no later than Friday, April 24, 2026:**

**1. Remove the Post and All Republications**

Immediately remove the April 15, 2026, RightOnDaily.com post by Aaron F. Park identified herein, together with any duplicate posts, reposts, comments, captions, graphics, boosted content, and any substantially similar republications.

**2. Cease and Desist from any Further Publication of the Post**

Immediately cease and desist from publishing, republishing, repeating, paraphrasing, endorsing, or otherwise disseminating the false statements or factually false implications concerning Hon. Judge Leon A. Dixson in any medium, including—but not limited to—Facebook, Instagram, X, campaign literature, text messages, email communications, websites, and oral campaign messaging.

**3. Publish a Clear Correction and Retraction**

Publish a correction and retraction, in a form at least as visible as the original post, stating substantially as follows:

On or about April 15, 2026, RightOnDaily.com and Aaron F. Park published a post concerning current Placer County Superior Court Judge and judicial candidate Hon. Leon A. Dixson and Placer County Superior Court Criminal Case No. 62-201540, *The People of the State of California v. David Walter Williams*. That post contained misstatements of fact. After reviewing the public record, RightOnDaily.com retracts such statements. RightOnDaily.com and Aaron F. Park acknowledge that the prior post contained inaccurate information.

The correct facts are as follows: David Walter Williams was not under arrest, incarcerated, or in police custody for his offense before his November 20, 2024,

arraignment, at the time of arraignment, *or at any other time* that he appeared before Hon. Judge Leon A. Dixon. Judge Dixon did not let David Walter Williams out of jail. On November 20, 2024, the prosecutor requested that Williams be on “Supervised O.R.,” and Judge Dixon granted the request with terms and conditions based on the requests of the parties and to which there were no objections from the District Attorney’s office.

Judge Dixon did not preside over any portion of Williams’s criminal trial or his sentencing. Nor did Judge Dixon, at any time, deny a request from the District Attorney’s office that Williams be arrested or remanded to custody.

Additionally, please be aware that “[i]n any action for libel or slander brought by a candidate, the willingness or unwillingness of the defendant to retract or correct a communication made in the course of a campaign, and his or her action in doing so, shall be admissible in evidence in the exemplary damages phase of a bifurcated trial.” (Cal. Elec. Code, §20502.)

#### **4. Preserve All Evidence**

Preserve all documents and electronically stored information relating to the creation, review, approval, publication, dissemination, editing, sponsorship, boosting, and deletion of the post, including drafts, emails, texts, direct messages, internal chats, ad records, analytics, screenshots, and communications with any third party concerning the post about the criminal case and Judge Dixon.

#### **5. Identify Responsible Persons**

Provide the names of all persons who drafted, reviewed, approved, published, sponsored, boosted, republished, or otherwise participated in the dissemination of the false statement in addition to Aaron F. Park by no later than Friday, April 24, 2026. Such names shall include such persons set forth in California Election Code, section 20502.

#### **6. State the Basis for Any Claim that your Statement was True**

If RightOnDaily.com contends that its statements are true, then please produce every document, transcript, court filing, minute order, audio recording, video recording, screenshot, witness statement, article, or other material upon which you rely, by Friday, April 24, 2026. If you cannot do so, your continued publication of the accusation will further support an inference of reckless disregard for truth or falsity.

Because this matter concerns a judicial election, false factual attacks on a candidate’s integrity, honesty, qualifications, fitness for his position, or supposed wrongdoing are especially harmful. Voters are certainly entitled to advocacy and discussion. To be sure, nothing in this cease-and-desist letter seeks to restrain lawful opinion, lawful criticism, or legitimate political advocacy. RightOnDaily.com and Aaron F. Park remain free to express disagreement and free to engage in protected political speech. What they may not do is publish false factual statements, or false factual implications, that defame Judge Dixon, and that wrongfully injure his professional reputation and candidacy.

Aaron F. Park  
RightOnDaily.com  
April 22, 2026  
Page 6

---

Please fully comply with the requests made in this correspondence by no later than Friday, April 24, 2026. It is our hope to resolve this matter swiftly and fairly, as our client is considering all available legal remedies. This letter does not waive any rights, claims, causes of action, remedies, or damages, all of which are expressly reserved by Judge Dixon.

Very truly yours,

HANSEN, SOMMER & BATCHMAN, LLP

*/s/ Bret N. Batchman*

Bret N. Batchman

BNB/ab

Enclosures: Attachments A-F

cc: David Bass (dcbass.esq@gmail.com) and David Bass Campaign per Cal. Code Judicial Ethics, Cannon 5B(2)

# **ATTACHMENT A**



**RightOnDaily.com**

Where Conservative Values Trump Agendas!

**Placer Judge Race a  
Microcosm of Today's  
Political Environment.  
Incumbent Judge  
Protects Pedophile and  
Challenger Called  
Extremist by  
Extremists!**

by Aaron F Park | Apr 15, 2026 | 2026 Elections | 4  
comments



There is a hotly contested Judge Race in Placer  
County that is a microcosm of the current political  
environment nationally. Rocklin City Council



rightondaily.com





Telephone No. 916-833-9127  
 Defendant's Signature: [Signature]  
 Date: 11/27/2014  
 Leon A. Dixon, Judge of the Superior Court  
 REVISED November 4, 2012

Pedophile!? Come on Aaron. Read up on what (PC 647.6 (a) (1) is.

A Placer County judge sentenced a man to 180 days in jail and one year of probation for secretly filming more than a dozen underage girls, prosecutors announced Wednesday. The Placer County District Attorney's Office said the sentencing of David Williams, 30, occurred in county Superior Court earlier this month, on August 4, after he was convicted of misdemeanor annoying or molesting a child.

The Local CBS affiliate had a story about David Williams.

Read the screenshot carefully. Don't go to a mall. In fact, the Galleria banned this guy themselves. The judge said stay away from parks and sporting events. But youth theater? Sure! That's great!

It gets worse - "Let Em Out Leon" Dixon let this piece of crap out of jail ON HIS OWN RECOGNIZANCE! Let that sink in parents! Out of jail, allowed to continue "teaching" kids by Judge Dixon.



Williams be, righondaily.com ng girls in

**Williams began recording young girls in public spaces last year, with investigators finding he had done so to at least 15 victims.**

An investigation into Williams began after a 14-year-old girl caught him recording her and reported it to the Roseville Police Department, prosecutors said.

Williams was also found to be a part of multiple youth theater groups in the area, also serving as an instructor.

According to the district attorney's office, the judge in the case said that state law limits probationary periods for this particular offense to one year.

Williams has been ordered to register as a sex offender for 10 years and is prohibited from contacting minors while on probation, prosecutors said.

How the hell this guy only got 180 days and a misdemeanor is beyond me. How Judge "Let Em Out Leon" thought it was a good idea to let this dude walk free and teach theater while pending trial is just too insane to think about for too long.

Unless of course you are the professional outrage mob that commits brazen acts of political harlotry - public safety be damned. My guess is they have to stick to social media because they can't get away with rioting and destroying property in Placer County. (Thank you Placer DA Morgan Gire and Sheriff Wayne Woo - BOTH OF WHOM ENDORSED DAVID BASS AGAINST Let Em Out



on!)





Daniel Medina is in Placer County, California.

12h · [rightondaily.com](http://rightondaily.com)

Tanner DiBella is a pastor at Destiny Church, the

# ENDORSED DAVID BASS AGAINST Let Em Out Leon!)


  **Daniel Medina is in Placer County, California.**  
12h · 🌐

Tanner DiBella is a pastor at Destiny Church, the fundamentalist mega-church in Placer County, and is the chair of The American Council, the political wing of Destiny which funnels money to MAGA extremists. They are now trying to get David Bass elected as a Placer County Judge. The incumbent, Judge Leon Dixon, is a black man. This is no coincidence.

Judge Dixon is endorsed by every sitting judge in Placer and is so non-partisan, he's barely campaigning - because being a judge is about being fair and impartial, not engagir in politics.

Seeing a judgeship on the ballot is extremely rare. Make su to vote for the person who will actually deliver justice, not political hacks trying to buy their way into positions of power.

## RELIGIOUS EXTREMISTS ARE TRYING TO INFILTRATE JUDGESHIPS IN PLACER COUNTY

 **Tanner DiBella** · Pastor  
12h · 🌐

Using my voice for Placer County Judge Dixon and against Judge Bass. Thank you Kaitlyn for the delivery!

I have known David for a while and he is a very nice man. He is a public servant who has worked hard for his community.

David has spent a lot of time serving the community. He has been a member of the Placer County Board of Supervisors and a member of the Placer County Board of Directors. He is a very hard working man who has worked hard for his community.

I am proud to support this man for Placer County Judge.

God bless you, David, and your family. Placer County is a great place and we are proud to have you as our Judge.



Read Daniel Medina's comments clearly. In his mind, a Judge th rightondaily.com dophiles is a superior option to anyone of (GASP) faith! Further,

Read Daniel Medina's comments clearly. In his mind, a Judge that goes easy on pedophiles is a superior option to anyone of (GASP) faith! Further, to check all the boxes, he alleges racism because how else can you defend the indefensible? Religious bigotry overlaid with garden variety bigotry because you could not possibly take on a member of the leftist priesthood for any other reasons, right?

My guess is Medina does not have children, because if he did the actions of Dixson would give him pause. (unless of course Medina is raising his children to be confused)

The rest of Medina's post is the standard emotional manipulation the left has mastered, but more importantly it is a public manifestation of things I had been hearing from political insiders in the county. Some folks who want to remain influential politically seek opportunities to stir drama and attacking faith in general is one of the oldest leftist scare tactics in the book.

The Placer DA and Sheriff have endorsed David Bass. Crime Victims Groups have endorsed David Bass. Incumbent Judges - most all democrat (hack) appointees have endorsed "Let Em Out Leon". Why? It's pretty simple, if Let Em Out Leon gets let out by the voters - they all fear they will be next. So regardless of any alleged issues or relationship, their actions are mostly a craven act of self-preservation.

"Let Em Out Leon" is hardly campaigning. LOL. He isn't being a judge either. Protect our children, Fire Leon Dixson.





---

## 4 Comments

**Edward Koons** on Apr 16, 2026 at 12:56 pm

Dixson is endorsed unanimously by the current Superior Court judges. This post's attempt to characterize the judges as "hacks" is unwarranted. Each one of them was appointed by the Governor serving at the time of the appointment—and all of the recent governors are Democrats. Having practiced law for 45 years in Placer County, I have had court appearances before most of the current judges. I know from actual experience that none of them are "hacks." Dixson, before being appointed by the governor was found to be qualified only after investigation, screening, and peer review by the State Bar's Commission on Judicial Nominees. To castigate Dixson based on a single sentencing decision is shameful partisan mudslinging.

Blogger's Note: Thank you for your comment pointing out that this partisan hack was reviewed by other partisan hacks for qualification. The California State Bar is one of the most left, if not the most left in the country.

**Edward Koons** on Apr 16, 2026 at 1:59 pm



are you not going to print my comment?

**Ed Koons** on Apr 16, 2026 at 1:59 pm [rightondaily.com](https://www.rightondaily.com)

**Edward Koons** on Apr 16, 2026 at 1:59 pm

So are you not going to print my comment?

**Ed Koons** on Apr 16, 2026 at 2:00 pm

What happened to my comment?

Blogger's Note: Mr. Koons – new comments are moderated for obvious reasons.

**Aaron Melody** on Apr 16, 2026 at 10:05 pm

Gire endorses Bass. Good. But will Gire have our back when it comes to ENFORCING the Executive Order regarding mail in ballots sent to voters (by the County he is DA of) not legally allowed to vote? He left us out to dry during COVID. Right? Prove me wrong. And last time I checked, enforcement of Executive Orders is not optional.

Woo did too. What happened to that Project Veritas report about Woo's sexual exploits? I know; what report?

## Submit a Comment

Your email address will not be published.

Required fields are marked \*

Comment \*



# **ATTACHMENT B**



**MORGAN B. GIRE**  
District Attorney

**PLACER COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY**

**Roseville Office**  
10810 Justice Center Drive, Suite 240  
Roseville, CA 95678-6231  
916-543-8000 • FAX 916-543-2550

62-201540

**NOTICE TO APPEAR**

October 17, 2024  
Case Number: 24-05-006205

DAVID WALTER WILLIAMS  
4920 Heatherdale Lane  
Carmichael, CA 95608

A COMPLAINT HAS BEEN FILED AGAINST YOU on behalf of the People of the State of California in the Placer County Superior Court District, Roseville, California, which alleges violation(s) of section(s): **CHILD MOLESTING**.

DO NOT CONTACT the District Attorney's office for additional information as none can be provided to you.

YOU ARE HEREBY DIRECTED TO APPEAR at 8:30 AM on November 20, 2024, in the Placer County Superior Court District, Department 42, located at **Roseville**, California, for arraignment on the above charges.

Failure to comply with this request will result in the issuance of a warrant for your arrest. You **CANNOT** change the date and time of your appearance. You **MUST** appear at the date and time given.

**Nicole M. Cid**  
Deputy District Attorney

**Received**  
By Superior Court of California  
County of Placer on 10/17/2024

**CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

I certify that I am not a party to this cause and that a copy of the foregoing was mailed first class, postage prepaid, in a sealed envelope addressed as shown above, and that the mailing of the foregoing and execution of this certificate occurred at Roseville, California, on October 17, 2024.

Signature of Legal Secretary

# **ATTACHMENT C**

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF PLACER

#83 Date: 11/20/24 Time: 8:30:00AM

Department 42

Judge: Leon A. Dixon

People vs. WILLIAMS, DAVID WALTER

Case Number: 62-201540

Nature of Proceedings: Arraignment

Custody Status: Notice to Appear

Motion Detail:

Time Waiver: Waived through:

Additional Information:

Clerk: Elizabeth Baldi-Lambert /

Reporter: Electronic Recording

11:57/1:40

Defense Counsel:

Atty: B. Jacobs retained D.D.A.

J. MARE/J.O.W

Interpreter: [ ] certified [ ] qualified

Language:

[ ] Oath on File

NEXT COURT APPEARANCE:

Time Estimate:

ESC - 1/17/25 1PM D32

[ ] vacate open events

[ ] Defendant present [X] not present [X] PC977  
[X] Arr waived [ ] Arr completed [ ] Viol of Prob  
Appt. [ ] Public Defender [ ] Conflict Firm

[ ] BW ordered. Bail \$ \_\_\_\_\_ [ ] NCIC  
[X] Referred to DA for Arrest Warrant [ ] O/R revoked  
[ ] BW held [X] BW recalled [ ] Warrant remains active  
[ ] Probation [ ] summarily revoked [ ] reinstated [ ] terminated  
[ ] Bail forfeited [ ] Exonerated [ ] Forfeiture set aside  
[ ] Bail is reinstated [ ] Reinstatement fee is waived  
[ ] Defendant shall pay reinstatement fee \$ \_\_\_\_\_  
[ ] Civil assessment [ ] ordered [ ] confirmed  
Criminal Protective Order filed & served  
[ ] Dropped from calendar

[X] Not guilty [ ] Denied [ ] Admitted

[X] Advised financial responsibility

[ ] Case dismissed [ ] Petition dismissed

[ ] Amended

[X] General time waiver [ ] Time not waived

[ ] Time waived to [ ] next hearing [ ] to \_\_\_\_\_

Preliminary hearing time waiver [ ] 10 [ ] 60

[ ] Preliminary hearing is waived and defendant is held to answer, complaint deemed information

Trial time waiver [ ] general [ ] 60 days [ ] to next date [ ] not waived

Attend and provide proof of \_\_\_\_\_ self help meetings per week until further order of the Court

Proof [ ] shown [ ] not shown

[ ] Motion for new jail turn in date [ ] granted [ ] denied. New turn in date \_\_\_\_\_ Previous date \_\_\_\_\_

[ ] Transcript request date \_\_\_\_\_ Reporter \_\_\_\_\_ requested by [ ] Court [ ] Defense [ ] DA

[ ] PREP CENTER: Defendant is ordered to report to probation for an assessment within 72 hours to determine qualification into the PREP center. Defendant is ordered to fully comply with case plans as established by probation if deemed qualified after assessment.

[ ] Defendant transitioned from Prop 36, the plea is withdrawn, case is dismissed and fees/fines are waived and set aside

[ ] Defendant ordered to undergo treatment pursuant to PC1210.1 in \_\_\_\_\_ county.

[ ] Defendant is found to be indigent and qualifies for court appointed counsel

[ ] DEJ [ ] PC1000 completed, plea withdrawn and case is dismissed [ ] See Formal Order

in request sup or - granted

[ ] Ordered booked and released

Defendant ordered to report to the: [ ] Criminal Division [ ] Revenue Services [ ] Public Defender

[ ] Probation Department [ ] Forthwith [ ] on \_\_\_\_\_

[ ] Remanded to custody of Sheriff until next appearance. Bail [ ] as set or \$ \_\_\_\_\_ [ ] Bail terms if released  
[ ] CDCR [ ] PC1170(h) [ ] PC1170(h)(5) Charge: \_\_\_\_\_ [ ] Felony [ ] Misd

[ ] Committed to serve: jail \_\_\_\_\_ credits \_\_\_\_\_ ( \_\_\_\_\_ actual \_\_\_\_\_ good time \_\_\_\_\_ pretrial)

[ ] Committed to \_\_\_\_\_ state hospital

[ ] Discharged [ ] O/R [ ] with terms [X] Supervised Pretrial Release: [X] O/R [ ] EMP [ ] Bail

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Defendant Jail Revenue Services Probation DA Defense Counsel 05-2016



# **ATTACHMENT D**

**Placer County Release Agreement & Order**

People of the State of California, vs. <i>David Walter Williams</i>	Case No: <b>62-201540</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Modified
---	--

Supervised **Q.R.** or Bail, conditions as follows:

Defendant NOT to be released unless supervision is established:

And bail is posted    
  And GPS monitoring is established    
  And SCRAM monitoring is established

Defendant shall report on or before Fuehwhm to the following Probation location:

10810 Justice Center Drive, Roseville    
  2929 Richardson Drive, Suite B, Auburn    
  7252 Lake Blvd. #201, Tahoe Vista

Pretrial Home Confinement Release, conditions as follows:

**Defendant is not to be released without a G.P.S monitoring device.** Defendant shall not leave his/her residence unless approved by a Probation Officer. Defendant must comply with the Electronic Monitoring Agreement, reviewed at the time of release.

Defendant shall report on \_\_\_\_\_ at \_\_\_\_\_ to the following Probation location:

10810 Justice Center Drive, Roseville    
  2929 Richardson Drive, Suite B, Auburn    
  7252 Lake Blvd. #201, Tahoe Vista

**Next Court Appearance:**  
FSC 1/17/25 1PM D32

**I have read, understand, and agree to the following terms and conditions:**

1. If I am returned to custody for a violation of a term below, my bail will be set at \_\_\_\_\_ until I appear in court within the time requirements of Penal Code § 825. If bail is not specified by the court in this paragraph, bail will be set at the same amount previously set before supervised release.
2. To obey all laws and not commit any new offense. I shall obey all court orders, including criminal protective orders and restraining orders. I shall follow all directives of the probation officer.
3. To make all court appearances as ordered by the court and as directed by the probation officer.
4. To report to the probation officer at all times, places and in the manner directed.  Minimum 1 times per week.
5. To obtain the permission of the probation officer before leaving my county of residence.
6. To give the probation officer 24 hours advance notice of any proposed change of address.
7. To not leave the State of California without permission of the court. If I leave the State of California and fail to appear as required and I am apprehended; I hereby waive extradition proceedings and agree to be returned forthwith to California.
8. To submit my person, place of residence, vehicle or any area which I have control over to search **at any time of the day or night**, with or without a search warrant and with or without probable cause by the probation officer or any peace officer.
  - Defendant shall also submit to search and seizure of electronic devices within the meaning of Penal Code § 26146.
9. To obey all terms of my current probation, community supervision, or mandatory supervision.
10.  To submit to any drug, narcotic or alcohol testing as requested by the court, probation officer or any peace officer.
  - Minimum \_\_\_\_\_ times per week.
11.  To not possess or use any alcohol or go to locations where alcohol is the chief item for sale.
  - Exception - May possess alcohol or go to locations where it is the chief item of sale only when required for employment.
12.  To not drive with any measurable amount of alcohol or intoxicants in my system and to not drive unless licensed and insured.
13.  To complete \_\_\_\_\_ self-helps per week and provide written proof to the court and probation officer.
14.  To not possess nor use a controlled substance, drug or narcotic without a valid medical prescription.  Including marijuana.
15.  To participate in counseling, psychiatric, substance abuse and/or psychological care as directed by probation officer.
16.  To complete a  Substance Use Disorder Assessment (SUD) and/or  Mental Health Assessment.
17.  To not possess or associate with anyone who possesses any weapons, including any deadly or dangerous weapons or ammunition, nunchucks, martial arts weaponry, knives, or replica weapons. I shall not be involved in any activities in which weapons are used, such as hunting or target shooting, nor shall I remain in a vehicle where anyone has possession of weapons.
18.  To not associate with: \_\_\_\_\_
19.  To not contact, annoy, or harass in any manner: \_\_\_\_\_
20.  To stay away from (location): Galleria Mall at 1151 Galleria Blvd, Roseville and any places where
21.  Other orders: minors frequent, including but not limited to, parks and sporting events. Not be alone with a minor without a responsible adult, except when in a classroom for work with at least 15 students.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENT:** I have read, understand and received a copy of the terms of my release. If at any time, while participating on this program, I fail to appear in court or to comply with the terms and conditions of my release, I fully understand that the Probation Officer may immediately return me to jail.

Address: <u>1120 Heatherdale Dr</u>	Telephone No.: <u>916-833-9197</u>
Dated: <u>11-20-24</u>	Defendant's Signature: <i>[Signature]</i>

**COURT ORDER: SO ORDERED** 95608 *[Signature]*

Dated: 11/20/2024 Judge of the Superior Court

# **ATTACHMENT E**



SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA  
COUNTY OF PLACER

[WWW.PLACER.COURTS.CA.GOV](http://WWW.PLACER.COURTS.CA.GOV)

COURT MINUTES AMENDED 8/11/25

Case Name:	People vs. Williams, David	Case Number:	62-201540
Judicial Officer:	Eugene Gini	Date:	06/13/2025
Department:	44	Clerk:	Kate Lane
Nature of Proceedings:	Jury Trial	Court Reporter:	Jennifer Milne #10894

---

**Parties Present:**

**MAXWELL LENNIG, Certified Law Student on behalf of the PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**  
**SAM PHELAN, on behalf of the PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA Supervising Mr. LENNIG**  
**DAVID WILLIAMS, DEFENDANT**  
**BENJELLO JACOB, ATTORNEY for Defendant**

---

8:30 a.m. The Clerk notes that prior to being called on the record, counsel submit, file and/or mark the following materials:  
**I. People's Exhibit 14**  
The Clerk notes that all exhibits are as described on the exhibit listing generated during trial.

9:00 a.m. Off the record, outside the presence of the jury, the Court and counsel meet for an in-chambers conference.

10:28 a.m. Court reconvenes from the previous date of 6/12/2025 for an on-going jury trial with all parties present. Outside the presence of the jury, Defense counsel informs the Court that the defendant wishes to enter a change of plea. Defendant will enter a plea open to the court.  
The Court reviews the charge requirements with the defendant, including the ten-year registration requirement pursuant to Penal Code section 290.  
Defendant and defense counsel acknowledge the Court's review of the charge requirements and Penal Code section 290 registration obligations.

10:39 a.m. The Court advises the defendant of their Boykin-Tahl rights and ensures a knowing and voluntary waiver.  
The defendant enters a plea of no contest to the misdemeanor charge of Penal Code section 647.6(a)(1).

10:43 a.m. Certified Law Student Lennig requests remand; Defense counsel objects. Matter is argued and submitted.

10:49 a.m. The court orders the defendant to remain on Supervised OR as modified today to include GPS, no contact with children, and stay away from the Galleria Mall in Roseville CA.

**06/13/2025**

Criminal Protective Order is filed and personally served on the defendant in open court.

11:06 a.m. Jury summoned into the courtroom.

11:08 a.m. Jury is now present and properly seated in the jury box. The Court advises the jurors that the defendant has entered into a plea agreement. Jurors are read a final jury instruction. Juror information is ordered sealed. Jurors are thanked, released from their admonishment, and are excused.

11:18 a.m. Time is waived for sentencing  
Matter is continued to July 21 2025 at 3:00pm in Department 44 for Report of Probation Officer and Firearm Relinquishment.  
Parties stipulate to the return of the exhibits to the offering party.  
Defendant ordered to report to the Probation Department forthwith.  
Court is adjourned.

# **ATTACHMENT F**

**Placer County Release Agreement & Order**

People of the State of California, vs. <b>DAVID WALTER WILLIAMS</b>	Case No: <b>62-201540</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Modified
--	--

**Supervised O.R. or Bail, conditions as follows:**

Defendant NOT to be released unless supervision is established:

And bail is posted    
  And GPS monitoring is established    
  And SCRAM monitoring is established

Defendant shall report on or before forthwith to the following Probation location:

10810 Justice Center Drive, Roseville    
 2929 Richardson Drive, Suite B, Auburn    
 7252 Lake Blvd. #201, Tahoe Vista

Pretrial Home Confinement Release, conditions as follows:

**Defendant is not to be released without a G.P.S monitoring device.** Defendant shall not leave his/her residence unless approved by a Probation Officer. Defendant must comply with the Electronic Monitoring Agreement, reviewed at the time of release.

Defendant shall report on \_\_\_\_\_ at \_\_\_\_\_ to the following Probation location:

10810 Justice Center Drive, Roseville    
 2929 Richardson Drive, Suite B, Auburn    
 7252 Lake Blvd. #201, Tahoe Vista

**Next Court Appearance:**     7.21.25 @ 3:00 D44     **JUN 13 2025**

**I have read, understand, and agree to the following terms and conditions:**

1. If I am returned to custody for a violation of a term below, my bail will be set at **No Bail** until I appear in court without the time requirements of Penal Code § 825. If bail is not specified by the court in this paragraph, bail will be set at the same amount previously set before supervised release.
2. To obey all laws and not commit any new offense. I shall obey all court orders, including criminal protective orders and restraining orders. I shall follow all directives of the probation officer.
3. To make all court appearances as ordered by the court and as directed by the probation officer.
4. To report to the probation officer at all times, places and in the manner directed.  Minimum 1 times per week.
5. To obtain the permission of the probation officer before leaving my county of residence in Placer.
6. To give the probation officer 24 hours advance notice of any proposed change of address.
7. To not leave the State of California without permission of the court. If I leave the State of California and fail to appear as required and I am apprehended; I hereby waive extradition proceedings and agree to be returned forthwith to California.
8. To submit my person, place of residence, vehicle or any area which I have control over to search and seizure at any time of the day or night, with or without a search warrant and with or without probable cause by the probation officer or any peace officer.
  - Defendant shall also submit to search and seizure of electronic devices within the meaning of Penal Code § 1546.
9. To obey all terms of my current probation, community supervision, or mandatory supervision.
10.  To submit to any drug, narcotic or alcohol testing as requested by the court, probation officer or any peace officer.
  - Minimum \_\_\_\_\_ times per week.
11.  To not possess or use any alcohol or go to locations where alcohol is the chief item for sale.
  - Exception - May possess alcohol or go to locations where it is the chief item of sale only when required for employment.
12.  To not drive with any measurable amount of alcohol or intoxicants in my system and to not drive unless licensed and insured.
13.  To complete \_\_\_\_\_ self-helps per week and provide written proof to the court and probation officer.
14.  To not possess nor use a controlled substance, drug or narcotic without a valid medical prescription.  Including marijuana.
15.  To participate in counseling, psychiatric, substance abuse and/or psychological care as directed by probation officer.
16.  To complete a  Substance Use Disorder Assessment (SUD) and/or  Mental Health Assessment.
17.  To not possess or associate with anyone who possesses any weapons, including any deadly or dangerous weapons or ammunition, nunchucks, martial arts weaponry, knives, or replica weapons. I shall not be involved in any activities in which weapons are used, such as hunting or target shooting, nor shall I remain in a vehicle where anyone has possession of weapons.
18.  To not associate with: \_\_\_\_\_
19.  To not contact, annoy, or harass in any manner: COMPLY WITH C.P.O.
20.  To stay away from (location): GALLERIA MALL at 1151 GALLERIA BLVD. ROSEVILLE.
21.  Other orders: AND ANY PLACES WHERE MINORS FREQUENT, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, PARKS + SPORTING EVENTS. NOT BE ALONE WITH A MINOR AT ANY TIME.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENT:** I have read, understand and received a copy of the terms of my release. If at any time, while participating on this program, I fail to appear in court or to comply with the terms and conditions of my release, I fully understand that the Probation Officer may immediately return me to jail.

Address: <u>4920 Heatherdale Ln, CA, 95809</u>	Telephone No.: <u>916-833-9197</u>
Dated: <u>6-13-2025</u>	Defendant's Signature: <u>[Signature]</u>

**COURT ORDER: SO ORDERED**

Dated: 6/13/25     [Signature]     Judge of the Superior Court

REVISED November 4, 2022

**FILED**  
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA  
COUNTY OF PLACER

JAKE CHATTERS  
EXECUTIVE OFFICER & CLERK  
By: [Signature]     Deputy

[Signature]  
Eugene S Gini Jr